

WO-210 comments: I have listed the suggested additions because this ROD is expected to be challenged in court. Senior WO leaders may very likely face contentious ad hoc questions in a public forum about the Clear Creek Management Area RMP Amendment; therefore they need an in-depth overview of what this ROD covers.

BRIEFING FOR THE DIRECTOR

Suggest that statements be added to “Positions of Constituencies” section to discuss: (1) Asbestos hazard and the EPA document that will be released this year. (2) Details regarding the Socio-Econ data used in the FEIS. (3) Issue of mercury runoff into the Hernandez Reservoir. (4) Information about the proposed 80 foot ROWS on routes. (5) Concern about BLM’s capability to perform monitoring, follow through on restoration work and police OHV activity.

“Background” Section: Should be a clear timeline of the planning documents that have preceded the ROD. Beginning in the 70’s when the SBMRNA was designated. Additionally the plan which will start next year (?) cover the entire area again needs to be identified.

DOI NOA

The NOA mentions “minor” modifications were made as a result of the review process. Isn’t the dropping of the 80 foot ROW for routes more than a minor change?

Colin Voigt
WO-210
202-452-7714